

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 34513-072432.0164 2184 Emil Willi Reppel 09/909.272 07/19/2001 EXAMINER 7590 07/01/2004 5514

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

KNABLE, GEOFFREY L

1733

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		54
97 ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,272	REPPEL, EMIL WILLI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o		
	This action is non-final.	ore proceeding as to the mosts is
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		
Disposition of Claims		*
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application Papers	withdrawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the E		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to 1	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.00(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	. Π	Nummary (RTO 413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	F\ 1 N =4:====f :	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/909,272

Art Unit: 1733

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6-9-2004 has been entered.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawkinson, Jr. (US 3,528,476) or Hawkinson (US 2,965,162).

These references are applied for substantially the same reasons as set forth in the final rejection. Claim 1 newly defines that the shaft is supported by a vertical upright on a carriage and the mandrel, vertical upright and carriage translate. As to these new requirements, in Hawkinson, Jr. '476, the element 24 (note esp. fig. 2) is a vertical upright on a carriage which supports the shaft. Likewise, in Hawkinson '162, the carriage "13" supports what can be termed a "vertical upright" (not separately numbered but part of assembly "8") which in turn supports shaft "9".

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hawkinson, Jr. (US 3,528,476) or Hawkinson (US 2,965,162) as applied above and further in view of the admitted state of the prior art as applied in the last office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,272

Art Unit: 1733

- 5. Claims 5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkinson, Jr. (US 3,528,476) or Hawkinson (US 2,965,162) as applied above taken alone or further in view of the admitted state of the prior art as applied to claims 1-4, 6 and 7 above, and further in view of Andersson et al. (US 6,251,204) and/or Marangoni (US 4,036,677) and/or Schmidt (US 2,009,524) as applied in the last office action.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 6-9-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is first noted that with removal of the requirements from claim 1 pertaining to the movement/contact capability of the apparatus (i.e. the last three lines of the claim), the patent to Cahill is no longer necessary and has been removed from the rejections.

As to the new features claimed, applicant points to the upright pedestal "5" in Hawkinson '162 and urges that this reference does not meet the claim since this upright does not translate. These arguments have been carefully considered but are unpersuasive. While it is not disputed that the upright "5" does not translate, as noted in the rejection above, the carriage "13" supports what can be termed a "vertical upright" (not separately numbered but part of assembly "8") which in turn supports shaft "9". Similar arguments are presented with respect to Hawkinson, Jr. '476 but, likewise, as noted above, the element 24 (note esp. fig. 2) is a vertical upright on a carriage which supports the shaft. These references are therefore considered to fully anticipate the present claims as amended and the rejections are therefore still deemed proper and will be maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,272

Art Unit: 1733

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 571-272-1220. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

G. Knable June 25, 2004